

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,110 02/09/		02/09/2001	Peter J. Potrebic	14531.86	2976
47973	7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER	
		EGGER/MICROSO	SHANG, ANNAN Q		
1000 EAGLE 60 EAST SO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE	CITY, 1	UT 84111	2623		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/781,110	POTREBIC, PETER J.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Annan Q. Shang	2623
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)☐ 6)፟⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicat 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-5,9-23,25,26 and 28-35 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,9-23,25,26 and 28-35 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the o	wn from consideration. ted. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the formula of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula of the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-23, 25, 26, 28-31 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wood et al (2003/0044165)** in view of **Knudson et al (2005/0273819)**, and further in view of **Abbott et al (5,973,679)**.

As to claims 1 and 3-5, note the **Wood et al** reference figures 1 and 2, disclose video data recorder having integrated channel guides allowing a user to control recording and storage of television signals into personal channels for later playback and viewing and further disclose in an entertainment system that includes a video recording apparatus (Video Storage "VS" 105), a method for automatically recording a fragmented program that includes a series of fragments that are temporally separated from each other and that have been designated as being related one to another where each fragment is broadcast to the entertainment system as separate and independent program from other related fragments, the method comprising the acts of :

providing a list (Processor 'Pro' 101, provides a listing or guide of shows to a display, figs 7-10) of categories that includes a Show, Series or Mini-Series (Shows) "one or more fragmented programs" for a user (page 3, [0045-0049]):

Pro-101, upon receiving a user selection of a show(s) (via User Interface 'Ul' o08), identifying with the program guide data each of Shows that corresponds to the selected program listing and that is scheduled to be displayed during a specific period of time, where the guide data used to identify the Show(s) includes a unique identifier associated with the Show(s) that is distinguished from the program title (page 2, [0038-0045] and [0054-0055), note that the selected Show(s), such as Scooby Doo, etc., the EPG data identifies the Show(s) for recording, the Show inherently includes a unique identifier that is distinguished from a program title, which identifies the Show(s) and enables a specific Show(s) to be search and retrieved from the Databases 103 and 104, at the appropriate time for recording accordingly, furthermore each title creates a unique code or unique identifier which is used within the database to perform a search;

the claimed "receiving a user input requesting one or more fragments to be recorded..." is met by Processor (Pro) 101 (figs. 1, 2, page 1, [0025-0026] and [0038-0040]), which is coupled to Channel Guide, Criteria, Video, etc., Databases and receives via User Interface (UI) 108, a "user input" requests for a Show, Series or Mini-Series (Shows) "series of fragments" and a criteria ([0040-0045] and [0061]) for recording the Shows without requiring the user to separately identify each of the Shows in the series ([0029], [0043-0044] and [0050-0051]); and in response to the user input, Pro 101 examines electronic program guide (EPG) data ([0027-0028]) and identifies the Shows in the series ([0038-0039]); and for each Shows, automatically determines a start time for the Show and records the Show onto VS 105, when the Show is aired (page 2, [0027]; page 3, page 4, [0060-0062] and page 5, [0068-0072]), note that Pro

Art Unit: 2623

101 monitors EPG Database for ongoing and future Shows to determine the Show to be recorded, using the user's specified criteria stored in Criteria Database 104 and records either a single Show, series or mini-series, using the specified criteria for recording Shows.

Woods displays a pop-up alphabetical menu of all Show titles, actor/actress, director, etc., in the channel guide database 103 (page 3, [0046-0049]) and further teaches storing personal channels for recording of comedy, sporting events, movies, cooking shows, etc., (page 4, [0060-0061]), but fails to explicitly teach displaying a list of selected Show category or categories that is scheduled to be displayed during a specific period of time where the EPG data used to identify the one or more fragmented programs includes a unique identifier that is specific to a fragmented program and is common to each fragment within a corresponding fragmented program and such that each fragment corresponding to the fragmented program have a same unique identifier and that is distinguished from a program title.

However, note **Knudson** reference figures 4-5 and 10-12, discloses series recording using an interactive TV program guide and displays a set, group or list of series/episodes or programs that are scheduled to be displayed during a specific period of time (figs.4-5, 10-12, page 4, [0050-0051], [0083-0084] and [0088-0091]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Knudson into the system of Wood to provide the user a visual representation of each set, group or list of scheduled series/episodes to be recorded to enable the user to make any necessary changes as desired.

Wood as modified by Knudson, fail to explicitly teach assigning a unique identifier common to each episode, program or fragment within each set, group or list of series/episodes or grouping of program, which are identified by the network as being related and such that each set, group or list of series/episodes or grouping of program have a same unique identifier and that is distinguished and independent from the a program title.

However, note **Abbott** reference figures 1-3 and 11, discloses system and method for media stream indexing where a series is assigned a unique series identifier or series ID when stored (col.4, line 53-col.5, line 3, col.7, lines 12-20 and col.20, line 66-col.21, line 11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Abbott into the system of Wood as modified by Knudson enable indexing of the groups of programs and further enable the user to easily identify groups or categories of Show(s) of interest.

As to claim 2, Wood further discloses determining an end time for the Show and deactuating the VS 105 when the Show is completed (page 2, [0027] and [0038-0040]).

As to claims 3-6, Wood further discloses where the Show is a mini-series, sporting event and a group of television programs of a television network that are designated as being related (page 4, [0060-0062 and page 5, [0068-0072] and where the UI 108 receives through the use of categories pop-up menu, such as sports, news, comedy, etc., (page 3, [0046-0050]).

As to claims 9-10, Wood further discloses where the Processor, updates the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

EPG periodically for each of the Shows as to the start time for the Show through the use of current EPG data including identifying when a scheduling change occurs for any of the Shows (page 2, [0027-0028], [0038-0040], page 3, [0051-0053 and page 5, [0068-0072]).

As to claim 11, Wood further discloses determining whether the Show is reoccurring; and if the Show is reoccurring, automatically setting a reminder to record a subsequent occurrence of the Show (page 3, [0044-45]; page 4, [0057-0058]).

As to claim 12, Wood further discloses "receiving a user input specifying that the episodes of the television program are to be recorded..." met by Processor (Pro) 101 (figs. 1, 2, page 1, [0025-0026] and [0038-0039]), which is coupled to Channel Guide. Criteria, Video, etc., Databases and receives via User Interface (UI) 108, a "user input" specifying that a Show, Series or Mini-Series (Shows) "episodes of the television program" and the criteria ([0040-0045] and [0061]) for recording the Shows without requiring the user to separately identify each of the Shows in the series ([0029], [0043-0044] and [0050-0051]); and in response to the user input, iteratively during the period of time, Pro 101, performs the following acts, such that a plurality of Shows of the television program are recorded without recording a particular Shows more than once: Pro 100 uses electronic program guide (EPG) data ([0027-0028]), and identifies the Shows in the series ([0038-0039]) and for each Shows, automatically determines a start time for the Show and records the Show onto VS 105, when the Show is aired (page 2, [0027]; page 3, [0038-0040] and page 4, [0060-0062]); and determines whether the Show has been previously recorded based on the user's criteria (page 3, [0043-0046]);

Art Unit: 2623

if it is determined whether the Show has been previously recorded, automatically recording the Show and if it is determined that the Show has not been previously recorded, automatically refraining from recording the Show (page 3, [0052-0053]; page 4, [0060-0061] and page 5, [0068-0072]), note that Pro 101 monitors EPG Database for ongoing and future Shows to determine the Show to be recorded, using the user's specified criteria in Criteria Database 104 and records either a single Show, series or mini-series, using the specified criteria for recording Shows.

Claim 13 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 7.

Claim 14 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 9 and 10.

Claim 15 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 11.

As to claim 16, Wood further discloses "receiving a user input specifying that the first-run episodes of the television program during the period of time are to be recorded..." met by Processor (Pro) 101 (figs. 1, 2, page 1, [0025-0026] and [0038-0039]), which is in communications Channel Guide, Criteria, Video, etc., Databases and receives via User Interface (UI) 108, a "user input" specifying that a Show, Series or Mini-Series (Shows) "first-run episodes of the television program" during the time are to be recorded (page [0040-0045]; page [0061 and page 5, [0068-0072]), note that the user specifies a criteria for recording a particular first-run Show; Pro 100 uses electronic program guide (EPG) data ([0027-0028]) and identifies each of the first-run Shows of the television program that is scheduled to be aired during the period of time ([0038-0039]); and for each first-run Shows, performs the acts of: determining the a first-run Show has been aired; determining whether the Show has not been aired (page 2,

Art Unit: 2623

[0038-0040] and [0043-0046]); if it is determined whether the that the first-run Show has not been aired, automatically recording the first-run Show (fig. 9, page 3, [0051-0053]; page 4, [0060-0062 and page 5, [0068-0072); and if it is determined that the first-run Show has been previously aired, performs the acts of: determining whether the first-run Show is scheduled to be aired at a future time, and if the first-run Show is scheduled to be aired at a future time, automatically recording the first-run Show at the future time (fig. 9, page 3, [0051-0053]; page 4, [0060-0062 and page 5, [0068-0072), note that Pro 101 monitors EPG Database for ongoing and future Shows to determine the Show to be recorded, using the user's specified criteria stored in Criteria Database 104 and records either a single Show, series or mini-series, using the specified criteria for recording Shows.

Claim 17 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 11.

Claim 18 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 7.

Claim 19 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 9 and 10.

As to claim 20, Wood further discloses where if the programming change relates to a new time of airing one of the first Shows, which was originally determined to have already aired and was not scheduled to air at a future time, further performing the act of automatically recording the one of the first-run Shows at the new time (page 2, [0038-00400] and page 5, [0068-0072]).

As to claim 21, Wood further discloses "a recording system (figure 1) for recording a video data corresponding to fragmented program, the recording system comprising..."

Art Unit: 2623

which is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 22 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 7.

Claim 23 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 7 and 8.

As to claim 25, Wood further disclose where the recording system includes a recording list preserved on VS 105 coupled to UI 108, where the recording list itemizes one or more Shows that correspond to the plurality of Shows to be recorded (page 3, [0045-0049]; page 4, [0060-0062] and page 5, [0068-0070]).

As to claim 26, the claimed "computer program product...comprising:" contains the same structural elements as rejected claim 1.

Claim 28 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 10.

As to claim 29, Wood further discloses determining whether any of the Shows is a first-run Show, and sequentially recording only Shows that are first-run Show (page 4, [0060-0062] and page [0068-0071]).

Claim 30 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 11.

As to claim 31, Wood as modified by Abbott, fail to explicitly teach resolving the conflict including determining that the particular Show will be re-broadcast at a different time on a same or different channel.

However, note Knudson further discloses resolving the conflicts, by determining that the particular TV program will be re-broadcast at a different time on a same or different channel (page 9, [0100-0106]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Knudson into the system of Wood as modified Abbott to enable recording of conflicting programs, which are re-broadcast, on other time slot.

Claim 33 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 34 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 35 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al (2003/0044165) in view of Knudson et al (2005/0273819), and further in view of Abbott et al (5,973,679) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yi (6,094,427).

As to claim 32, Wood as modified by Knudson and Abbott, fail to explicitly resolving the conflict including determining that the particular Show will be re-broadcast at a different time on a same or different channel.

However, note **Yi** reference figures 4 and 7-9, discloses a communications system between satellite beams and/or satellites where fragmented digital data, such as voice, image, video, text file or multimedia includes a plurality of corresponding fragments which are broadcast over a plurality of different networks (col.11, lines 59-67, col.12, lines 38-52 and col.13, line 24-col.14, line 1+).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Yi into the system of Wood as modified by

Knudson and Abbott to provide an efficient receiver, which retrieves the best streams or fragments from the different networks for faster processing.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9-23, 25-26 and 28-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment to all the independent claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection discussed above. This office action is made final.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Maze et al (6,983,483) disclose scheduler apparatus employing a gopher agent use in a TV receiver.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2623

1,110 Page 12

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Annan Q. Shang whose telephone number is 571-

272-7355. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Annan Q. Shang

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2 Teller

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600